

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,028	10/22/2003	James Russell Curtis	200314220-1	5010
22879 7590 HEWLETT PACKA	03/26/2007 ARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3	404 E. HARMONY	DAO, THUY CHAN		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PER	IOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/26/2007	PAPER '	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/691,028	CURTIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
••	Thuy Dao	2192				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		-				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	nuary 2007.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,8-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-10 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/691,028

Art Unit: 2192

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the application filed on January 24, 2007.

2. Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-10 have been examined.

# **Response to Amendments**

- 3. Per Applicants' request, claims 1, 6, and 8 have been amended and claims 2 and 7 have been canceled.
- 4. The objection to the title and specification is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments.
- 5. The 35 USC §112, second paragraph rejection over claims 2 and 7 is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments.

# Response to Arguments

6. The Applicants are thanked for a thorough reply. Applicants' arguments have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Objection

7. Claim 3 is objected to because of minor informalities: in line 1, the phrase is considered to read as - -A method as recited in Claim [[2]] 3 wherein ... - -.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

8. Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication No. 2004/0133445 A1 to Rajan et al. (art made of record, hereinafter "Rajan") in view of Meyer (art of record, US Patent No. 7,016,944).

## Claim 1:

Rajan discloses a method comprising:

launching an application; tracking usage of said application so as to generate usage data; accessing an update site; transferring said usage data to said update site (e.g., page 2, [0018]; page 15, [0160]; page 9, [0098]; page 12, [0135]);

prioritizing updates at least in part as a function of said usage data; and said updates as prioritized in said prioritizing step (e.g., page 15, [0160-0161]).

Rajan does not explicitly disclose presenting to a user a list of said updates.

However, in an analogous art, Meyer further discloses *presenting to a user a list* of said updates (e.g., FIGs. 5-7, col.6: 12 – col.7: 32).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Meyer into that of Rajan. One would have been motivated to do so to alert the user to the presence of any upgrades available for computer information on the user's computer and allow the user to determine which of the available upgrades with be downloaded to the user's computer and installed as suggested by Meyer (e.g., col.2: 42 – col.3: 10).

# Claim 3:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Meyer further discloses said user selects one or more of said updates for said application (e.g., FIGs. 5-7, col.6: 12 – col.7: 32).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Meyer into that of Rajan. One would have been motivated to do so as set forth above.

## Claim 4:

The rejection of claim 3 is incorporated. Rajan also discloses said selected ones of said updates are installed so as to modify said application (e.g., page 15, [0160]).

Art Unit: 2192

### Claim 5:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Rajan also discloses further development of said application is directed in part as a function of said usage data (e.g., page 2, [0018]; page 9, [0098]; page 12, [0135]).

# Claim 6:

Rajan discloses a software program set on computer readable media, said software program set comprising:

a usage data evaluator for evaluating raw usage data :received from a user computer system regarding a version of a software application installed thereon, said usage data evaluator providing evaluated usage data (e.g., page 2, [0018]; page 9, [0098]; page 12, [0135]; page 13, [0140]);

an update prioritizer for prioritizing updates available for said version at least in part as a function of said evaluated usage data; a web interface and a list of said updates as prioritized by said prioritizer (e.g., page 15, [0160-0161]).

Rajan does not explicitly disclose presenting to a user a list of said updates.

However, in an analogous art, Meyer further disclose *presenting to a user a list of said updates* (e.g., FIGs. 5-7, col.6: 12 – col.7: 32)

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Meyer into that of Rajan. One would have been motivated to do so to alert the user to the presence of any upgrades available for computer information on the user's computer and allow the user to determine which of the available upgrades with be downloaded to the user's computer and installed as suggested by Meyer (e.g., col.2: 42 – col.3: 10).

### Claim 8:

The rejection of claim 6 is incorporated. Meyer further discloses said web interface specifies, for at least some of said updates, advantages over said version of said application (e.g., FIGs. 5-7, col.6: 12 – col.7: 32).

Art Unit: 2192

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Meyer into that of Rajan. One would have been motivated to do so as set forth above.

Page 5

### Claim 9:

The rejection of claim 6 is incorporated. Rajan also discloses a usage-tracking module installed on said user computer system (e.g., page 2, [0018]; page 9, [0098]; page 12, [0135]).

### Claim 10:

The rejection of claim 9 is incorporated. Rajan also discloses said usage-tracking module is integrated with said version of said application (e.g., page 2, [0012]; page 5, [0046]).

### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Thuy Dao (Twee), whose telephone is (571) 272 8570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 6:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam, can be reached at (571) 272 3695.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272 2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/691,028

Art Unit: 2192

Page 6

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T. Dao

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER